

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE SPORTS PARK COMMITTEE OF KINGS HILL PARISH COUNCIL HELD ON TUESDAY 07 JANUARY 2025**

**Present:** Cllrs. Hartman, Mclymont (Substitute Member), & Petty

**Also Present:** K. Bell (Parish Clerk), H. Usher (Deputy Clerk) and representatives from TMA, KHFC & K. Hockey

**Apologies:** Cllrs. Colman & Sherlaw

**Absentee:** Cllr. Kirk

- SP 39 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCES:** The apologies received from Cllrs. Colman (Holiday) & Sherlaw (Holiday) were approved. **Unanimous**
- SP 40 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST AND / OR LOBBYING AND / OR INTENTION TO RECORD:** There were none **Noted**
- SP 41 MINUTES**
- SP 41.1** The minutes from the meeting held on 05 December 2024 were approved and signed as a correct record of those proceedings. **Unanimous**
- SP 41.2** There were no matters arising that were not otherwise covered on the agenda. **Noted**
- SP 42 PADEL TENNIS:** Updates received and noted. Following a discussion, it was: **RESOLVED:** That the Committee would recommend that the Parish Council approve progressing with the installation of two Padel Courts at the Sports Park. **Unanimous**
- SP 43 KINGS HILL FOOTBALL CLUB:** Update from KHFC. **Noted**
- PT 44 KINGS HILL HOCKEY:** Update received which included proposals for parking, spectator space, future second pitch maintenance guide for the hockey pitch, updated reports with costings and lighting costs. **Noted**
- SP 45 REPORTS FROM TMA**
- The CEO's Financial information and management accounts for the period ended 31 October 2024 were received and noted. It was further noted that the financial data for the period ended 31 December 2024 had not yet been received. **Noted**
  - TMA had sought the views of the Committee in respect of a proposal they had received from KHFC who wanted to relocate the existing 3G fencing to create a spectator area inside the 3G pitch. It was believed that the original proposal was for the fence to be moved back in line with the existing goal areas. However, a drawing had been received indicating that the proposed new position of the fence would take up the whole of the existing path that ran along the length of the pitch. It was noted that a Licence to Alter would be required but before progressing, TMA wished to ascertain the views of the Committee. Following a discussion, it was:

**These minutes are not as verbatim record of the meeting, but a summary of discussion and decisions made at the meeting**

**Committee Chairman:** .....

**Date:** 18 February 2025

**RESOLVED:** That whilst the Committee had no objection in principle TMA would be required to ensure that the project complied with all planning and lease conditions, that there would be no cost to either TMA or KHPC and that the work should preferably be carried out by the original contractor. **Unanimous**

**SP 46** **DATE AND TIME OF THE NEXT MEETING:** Confirmed as 18 February 2025 at 6.30 p.m.

**SP 47** **Consideration in Private:** Per the Public Bodies (Admission to Meetings) Act 1960 Sec 1 (20 the following items were considered in private.

**Leases and Contracts**

- The solicitors had been asked to provide some clarity, i.e. definition and interpretation of Clause 8 of the lease between Rouse Kent and KHPC. **Noted**
- The Committee would be reviewing the lease between KHPC and TMA, the target completion of the review to be 30 June 2024. **Noted**

**There being no additional business, the meeting concluded at 20.40 hours.**

**These minutes are not as verbatim record of the meeting, but a summary of discussion and decisions made at the meeting**

**Committee Chairman:** ..... **Date:** 18 February 2025